Open Access



Reliability of doming and toe flexion testing to quantify foot muscle strength

Sarah Trager Ridge^{*}, J. William Myrer, Mark T. Olsen, Kevin Jurgensmeier and A. Wayne Johnson

Abstract

Background: Quantifying the strength of the intrinsic foot muscles has been a challenge for clinicians and researchers. The reliable measurement of this strength is important in order to assess weakness, which may contribute to a variety of functional issues in the foot and lower leg, including plantar fasciitis and hallux valgus. This study reports 3 novel methods for measuring foot strength – doming (previously unmeasured), hallux flexion, and flexion of the lesser toes.

Methods: Twenty-one healthy volunteers performed the strength tests during two testing sessions which occurred one to five days apart. Each participant performed each series of strength tests (doming, hallux flexion, and lesser toe flexion) four times during the first testing session (twice with each of two raters) and two times during the second testing session (once with each rater). Intra-class correlation coefficients were calculated to test for reliability for the following comparisons: between raters during the same testing session on the same day (inter-rater, intra-day, intra-session), between raters on different days (inter-rater, inter-day, inter-session), between days for the same rater (intra-rater, inter-day, inter-session), and between sessions on the same day by the same rater (intra-rater, intra-day, inter-session).

Results: ICCs showed good to excellent reliability for all tests between days, raters, and sessions. Average doming strength was 99.96 ± 47.04 N. Average hallux flexion strength was 65.66 ± 24.5 N. Average lateral toe flexion was 50.96 ± 22.54 N.

Conclusions: These simple tests using relatively low cost equipment can be used for research or clinical purposes. If repeated testing will be conducted on the same participant, it is suggested that the same researcher or clinician perform the testing each time for optimal reliability.

Keywords: Intrinsic foot muscles, Strength testing, Doming/short foot exercise, Toe flexion

Background

The ability to measure foot muscle strength accurately and reliably is necessary for clinicians and researchers to enable them to monitor strengthening or identify weakness [1]. Direct strength measurements of these muscles is challenging, due in part to the lack of commercially available equipment. In addition, the intrinsic foot muscles (IFM) have many of the same actions as the extrinsic lower limb muscles, therefore it can be difficult to isolate and assess the strength of only the intrinsic foot muscles. It may be more appropriate to test strength during specific movements rather than individual muscles, due to the simultaneous involvement of both intrinsic and extrinsic muscles.

In recent years, studies have shown that IFM strength can have varying effects on lower limb pathologies and balance [2]. In particular, IFM weakness or altered activation has been associated with multiple issues in the foot and lower leg including, pes cavus in patients with Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease [3], heel pain [4, 5], claw toe deformity [6, 7], hammer toe deformity [6, 8], hallux valgus [2, 9], and posteromedial shin pain [10, 11]. People with plantar fasciitis have been found to have weaker IFM [5] and/or lower IFM volume [12] than those with healthy feet. Recent research has also shown that IFM weakness has been associated with an

Department of Exercise Sciences, Brigham Young University, Provo, UT 84602, USA



© The Author(s). 2017 **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

^{*} Correspondence: sarah_ridge@byu.edu

increased risk of falls in older people due to their role in stabilizing the foot and maintaining balance [2, 13, 14].

Previous studies have used a variety of methods in an attempt to measure the strength of intrinsic foot muscles. Most studies have measured toe flexor force using hand-held dynamometry where the dynamometer was fixed stationary as the participants maximally pushed onto the dynamometer with their toes [1, 8, 15]. Other tests have included the Paper Grip Test, plantar pressure, or the Intrinsic Positive Test [2, 10, 16–19].

The Paper Grip Test and plantar pressure, combined, resulted in reliable measures of the intrinsic plantar flexor muscles (plantar pressure ICC 0.87 for lesser toes and 0.88 for hallux; no reliability calculated for the Paper Grip Test) [19], similar to those found when using hand-held dynamometry (ICC range 0.81-0.94, depending on the rater and the muscle group tested - ankle plantar flexion, lesser toe plantar flexion, or hallux plantar flexion) [1]. Another approach to measuring intrinsic foot muscles is known as the Intrinsic Positive Test. This test involves the researcher evaluating the participant's ability to perform intrinsic foot muscle contractions where the participant extends the hallux while simultaneously flexing the lesser toes at the MTP joint and extending the interphalangeal joints [10]. This method of testing is less reliable than using the Paper Grip Test or hand-held dynamometry because it is not quantifiable [2]. Each test described here has a major drawback and, as such, the use of the test for research or repeated clinical purposes is less than ideal.

Another limitation to existing testing methods is the lack of data generated regarding strength during movements other than toe flexion. The doming exercise (also known as the short-foot exercise) is used by many clinicians to engage and strengthen the intrinsic foot muscles. To this point, no one has tried to quantify strength associated with performing this movement.

In order to measure strength during functional movements including doming, hallux flexion, and lesser toe flexion, we developed new methods of assessment using dynamometry, acknowledging the contribution of the intrinsic and extrinsic foot muscles in performing these movements. This included developing the only method that we are aware of for quantifying strength during the doming motion, as well as an alternative method of testing toe flexion strength that may be advantageous with regard to simplicity, expense, and/or reliability. The purpose of this study was to evaluate the reliability of these new methods.

Methods

Participants

Twenty-one volunteers participated in this study (13 males, 8 females; age: 24.2 ± 2.5 years, height: $170.5 \pm$

39.1 cm, weight: 75.9 ± 14.5 kg). All participants were healthy and free from foot pain or deformity at the time of the study. Participants signed a consent form approved by the university's IRB in compliance with the Declaration of Helsinki and completed two testing sessions from one to five days apart.

Procedures

On each of the two days of testing, participants performed a series of three foot strength tests, which were performed in a set order – doming, hallux flexion (T1), and flexion of the first three lesser toes (T234). Each series was repeated four times (two times with two different raters) on the first day and twice on the second day (one time with two different raters) (see Fig. 1 for a complete timeline of testing procedures). The second day of testing was completed one to five days after the first. A total of 10 raters performed testing throughout the study. A random pairing of raters was chosen and the same pair of raters performed the tests on a specific subject during both days of testing. All raters were trained on testing procedures and had performed testing on at least 10 practice subjects prior to performing testing for this study.

Prior to testing on the first day, each participant was shown the proper technique for the doming test. The participant practiced until the rater felt comfortable that the movements were being performed correctly, which took approximately 10 repetitions. The purpose of this was to minimize use of extrinsic foot muscles during testing.

Doming is performed by activating muscles to pull the metatarsal heads towards the heel of the foot, effectively shortening the foot (Fig. 2). In order to measure doming strength, participants stood with one foot in a Brannock device. The Brannock device was then moved anteriorly until the dorsum of the foot, just above the navicular tuberosity, rested against a handheld dynamometer (ErgoFet, Hoggan Health, Salt Lake City, UT) that was securely mounted to a wooden frame (Fig. 3). The dynamometer was calibrated with known weights and fitted to a trendline ($r^2 = 0.99$). The anterior-posterior position of the Brannock device was adjusted so that the starting force was at 1 kgf. The subject then performed the doming action to a maximal voluntary contraction against the dynamometer for three seconds, then relaxed as instructed by the rater. Instructions for the doming action included, "keep your toes on the ground, slide the ball of your foot back towards your heel," and "try to raise your arch without lifting or curling your toes." Trials were repeated if the participant lifted their toes, the base of the first metatarsal, or the heel. To ensure this, a researcher was assigned to visualize the movement of the metatarsal heads.



Flexion strength of participant's toes was assessed, first with the hallux unaided, then with the 2nd, 3rd, and 4th toes flexing simultaneously. During these tests, the dynamometer was attached to a wooden frame which was secured to the floor. Participants sat in a chair while researchers positioned the subjects' knees to 90-degrees of flexion. Subjects placed one foot on an adjustable raised platform, with their heel against another set of panels (Fig. 4a). The panels behind the foot were interchanged depending on foot size so that the foot was supported from the heel to the head of the first metatarsal, while still allowing for unimpaired toe flexion.

To test hallux flexion strength, the foot was adjusted so that the hallux was aligned with the dynamometer. Then subjects gripped a carabiner attached to the dynamometer via a turnbuckle with their hallux. After adjusting the turnbuckle so that the toe produced a baseline force of 0.5 kgf with the toe at a 45° angle (Fig. 4a), participants were instructed to "flex the big toe and pull as hard as possible for three seconds, then relax your grip". The combined strength of each study participant's 2nd, 3rd, and 4th toes was tested in a similar manner to their hallux, but instead of having a carabiner attached to the turnbuckle, a T-shaped metal bar was used. Subjects gripped the bar with the 2nd and 3rd toes straddling the metal piece that connected to the turnbuckle (Fig. 4b). A baseline force of 0.5 kgf was again established for each subject, and testing was carried out in an identical manner to the hallux flexion testing. Trials were repeated if the participant's heel and/or ball of the foot were raised from the boards during the toe flexion tests.

Each test was repeated three times on each foot. Throughout each test, force data was collected at 100 Hz and recorded using ErgoFet Data Collection software. Peak force was subsequently determined using custom LabView software. An investigator visually inspected each force curve. In order to avoid false peaks, the peak force was determined as the highest point at which the force plateaued for more than 10 data points.





The peak force value reported was calculated as the average of six data points surrounding the chosen point.

Data analysis and statistics

Peak forces from three trials for each test performed during each session during both days of testing were used for statistical analysis. Interclass correlation coefficients (ICC_(2,k) and ICC_(3,k)) were calculated in SPSS to test for reliability between raters during the same testing session on the same day (inter-rater, intra-day, intra-session), between raters on different days (interrater, inter-day, inter-session), between days for the same rater (intra-rater, inter-day, inter-session), and between sessions on the same day by the same rater (intra-rater, intra-day, inter-session).

Results

ICCs showed good to excellent reliability for all tests (T1, T234, and doming) between days, raters, and sessions (Table 1) [20]. When tests were performed on the same day, the ICCs ranged from 0.71 to 0.93 for interrater reliability and 0.94 to 0.99 for inter-session reliability. The ICCs for testing performed on different days ranged from 0.90 to 0.95 for the same rater, and 0.80 to 0.82 for different raters. Results for $ICC_{(2,k)}$ and $ICC_{(3,k)}$ were numerically the same, as explained in Portnoy and Watkins (2009).

Average doming strength was 99.96 ± 47.04 N. Average hallux flexion strength was 65.66 ± 24.5 N. Average lateral toe flexion was 50.96 ± 22.54 N. Average force values for each statistical analysis are included in Table 2.

Discussion

The purpose of this study was to determine the reliability of novel methods of measuring muscle strength during doming and toe-flexion exercises. Inter- and intrarater reliability were good to excellent for all tests. These results indicate that these methods of testing are repeatable among our rater group, which were moderately trained. The results of the $ICC_{(3,k)}$ indicate that this



Inter-rater, Intra-day,	Intra-session					
	Doming		Toe1		Toe234	
	ICC	95% CI	ICC	95% CI	ICC	95% CI
Rater 1	0.929	0.899 to 0.950	0.874	0.820 to 0.912	0.71	0.586 to 0.797
Rater 2						
Inter-rater, Inter-day,	Inter-session					
	Doming		Toe1		Toe234	
	ICC	95% CI	ICC	95% CI	ICC	95% CI
Rater 1	0.816	0.760 to 0.858	0.803	0.733 to 0.855	0.802	0.731 to 0.854
Rater 2						
Intra-rater, Inter-day,	Inter-session					
	Doming		Toe1		Toe234	
	ICC	95% CI	ICC	95% CI	ICC	95% CI
Day 1	0.949	0.927 to 0.966	0.903	0.861 to 0.936	0.924	0.890 to 0.950
Day 2						
Intra-rater, Intra-day,	Inter-session					
	Doming		Toe1		Toe234	
	ICC	95% CI	ICC	95% CI	ICC	95% CI
Day 1	0.985	0.978 to 0.990	0.962	0.945 to 0.975	0.942	0.916 to 0.962
Day 2						

Table 1 $ICC_{(2,k)}$ and $ICC_{(3,k)}$ values for all comparisons

The values were the same for both variations of the ICC test. Where 95% confidence intervals differed, the lowest and highest values using both tests were included

repeatability can be expected from any group of raters who perform this testing. Therefore, these tests may be used for research and/or clinical purposes. The results from the inter-rater, inter-day and intra-rater, inter-day comparisons suggest, however, that for the most reliable comparisons, when a patient or research participant is tested multiple times, the same rater should perform the testing.

Tab	le 2	Average \pm SD	force values	(in Newto	ons) foi	r each test
-----	------	------------------	--------------	-----------	----------	-------------

Inter-rater, Intra-day, Intra-session						
	Doming	Toe1	Toe234			
Rater 1	97.51 ± 47.92	64.88 ± 22.83	48.41 ± 17.35			
Rater 2	100.94 ± 50.96	64.88 ± 23.52	52.63 ± 22.05			
Inter-rater, Inter-day, Inter-session						
	Doming	Toe1	Toe234			
Rater 1	92.96 ± 40.36	62.85 ± 22.98	48.08 ± 19.62			
Rater 2	100.7 ± 38.61	70.28 ± 26.80	52.31 ± 25.73			
Intra-rater, Inter-day, Inter-session						
	Doming	Toe1	Toe234			
Day 1	90.85 ± 35.18	63.11 ± 19.11	50.67 ± 19.11			
Day 2	99.86 ± 37.14	67.13 ± 22.83	53.51 ± 24.30			
Intra-rater, Intra-day, Inter-session						
	Doming	Toe1	Toe234			
Session 1	100.94 ± 54.19	62.92 ± 21.76	52.63 ± 19.01			
Session 2	102.9 ± 53.31	60.86 ± 21.66	53.41 ± 20.68			

The doming strength measurements presented in this study represent a unique assessment that has not been analyzed before. Therefore, we are unable to compare our results to others. However, this is an important measurement to be able to quantify because this movement involves the recruitment of the tibialis anterior and posterior, flexor digitorum brevis, quadratus plantae, and abductor hallucis. These muscles have been shown to play an important role in arch support, the control of pronation, and postural control [13, 21]. Strengthening of the abductor hallucis has also been suggested as a treatment for hallux valgus [22], and pes planus [23]. Therefore, doming is a common exercise used by healthcare professionals in the treatment and rehabilitation of these and other foot pathologies. Having a reliable, noninvasive, and relatively cheap method of measuring the strength and function of muscles that support/lift the arch would allow clinicians and researchers to better monitor the effects of interventions (such as strengthening or orthotic use) and/or pathologies on the function of the foot. However, based on feedback from our subjects, doming is an unfamiliar movement and, for the most reliable results, it should be taught and practiced prior to the testing session for best results.

Average toe flexion strength values from the current study are comparable to, though often lower, than those from previous studies that employed dynamometry and tested toe flexor strength from the hallux and lesser toes separately. Direct comparisons between the current data and previously published data is difficult due to the differences in equipment and the positions used to test. With that said, the hallux flexion strength measurements reported here are very similar to the pre-intervention measurements in subjects with pes planus reported by Jung et al. [23] (62.2 ± 34.6 N and 62.5 ± 29.2 N). Meanwhile, our results are much lower than those reported by Spink et al. [1] (132.9 \pm 31.1 N), possibly due to differences in positioning. Our tests were performed with the ankle in a neutral position. This was chosen because it is within the range of motion for common activities, such as standing, balancing, and walking. In this neutral position, both long and short flexor muscles contribute to the strength measured during toe flexion. In contrast, Spink et al. [1] tested subjects lying supine, with the ankle in plantarflexion and with a hand-held dynamometer placed at the interphalangeal joint of the hallux, which was in an extended position. This would alter the length-tension relationship and the force production of the muscles. Subjects were then instructed to flex the toe against the operator's resistance. This may have allowed for increased contribution of the flexor hallucis longus when compared to our testing position, in which subjects started the test with the toe already flexed. Quek et al. [24] reported hallux flexor strength $(79.58 \pm 37.83 \text{ N})$, but the data was collected while subjects were standing rather than sitting. Spink et al. [1] were the only previous researchers to report flexion strength of the lesser toes in isolation from the hallux. Once again, our values are quite a bit smaller than those reported in that study (103 ± 27.5 N), likely for the same reasons previously discussed.

Many researchers have performed functional measures of foot muscle strength, though most test just the toe flexors. Some of these methods include qualitative testing, such as the Paper Grip Test, pushing against examiner resistance, or the Intrinsic Positive Test [1, 10, 16, 17, 25]. Our tests showed as good or better reliability than the Paper Grip Test and pushing against examiner resistance. No reliability has been reported for the Intrinsic Positive Test. In order to measure IFM strength and/or strength changes more accurately, various quantitative methods have been used as well. These include various uses of dynamometry, plantar pressure, and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) [1, 5, 8, 15, 18, 19, 26-30]. While MRI may be considered a gold standard for measuring muscle size, it is not a direct measurement of muscle strength. In addition, MRI is expensive and difficult to obtain and analyze. Therefore, it is imperative that reliable quantitative methods of measuring functional foot muscle strength are developed. These quantitative methods have shown better reliability than the qualitative ones. The reliability of our measurements are similar to those reported from the aforementioned quantitative methods.

Quantitative strength testing methods vary by equipment used, as well as the number and action of the muscles tested. Studies that have reported foot muscle strength obtained via dynamometry have had subjects use all toes together [5, 15, 24, 29, 30], each toe separately [8], or (as in the current study) the hallux and a group of the lesser toes separately [1, 19]. Most of these tests were performed by having the subject push down on a bar or cuff with their toe(s) [5, 8, 15]. Others have had subjects grab a bar or cuff with their toes and pull into maximal flexion.

While the current data shows that the tests performed during this study are reliable, there are a few limitations that should be noted. Although our subjects reported that the carabiner and bar were comfortable, gripping and pulling with individual toes (particularly the lesser toes) may be an unfamiliar task, resulting in greater concentration on gripping the device than contracting the muscles. During the lateral toe flexion testing, most subjects naturally wanted to invert their foot to assist with the flexion movement. However, since the goal was to isolate flexion strength, the researchers made sure the foot was planted flat on the board during these tests, thereby limiting inversion. As previously stated, the testing positions were chosen because they placed the ankle in a neutral position, allowing for recruitment of the intrinsic and extrinsic muscles as they would be during a portion of common activities such as standing and walking. However, because of the small range of motion involved in these tests, these strength measurements may not be reflective of maximal activation during movements requiring larger ranges of motion and greater force, such as walking and running. Of the three tests performed for this study, the lesser toe flexion test was the most difficult to set up, which may explain the lower ICCs between raters. Getting the subject to grip the T-bar effectively, achieving the baseline threshold by adjusting the turnbuckle, and positioning the foot on the support boards are all potential sources of variability between raters. In addition, the lesser toe flexion tests were the last set of tests performed during each session. It is possible that subjects may have experienced some fatigue of the foot muscles.

Conclusion

In conclusion, our three novel tests showed good reliability between testers and on repeated days of measurement. These are simple tests using relatively low cost equipment which could be used in a variety of situations to compare feet within a subject and/or monitor foot muscle strength changes in a population of interest.

Abbreviations

cm: Centimeters; ICC: Intraclass correlation coefficient; IFM: Intrinsic foot muscles; kg: Kilograms; kgf: Kilograms of force; MRI: Magnetic resonance imaging; T1: Hallux flexion; T234: Flexion of the lesser toes

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Funding

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request and approval from the appropriate IRB.

Authors' contributions

STR contributed to conception and design of the study, analysis and interpretation of data, and drafting the manuscript. JWM contributed to conception and design of the study, interpretation of data, and drafting the manuscript. MTO contributed to acquisition, analysis, and interpretation of data, and drafting the manuscript. KJ contributed to acquisition, analysis, and interpretation of data, and drafting the manuscript. AWJ contributed to conception and design of the study, analysis and interpretation of data, and drafting the manuscript. AWJ contributed to acquisition of data, and drafting the manuscript. AWJ contributed to acquisition of data, and drafting the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was approved by Brigham Young University's Institutional Review Board for Human Subjects. Consent was provided by all subjects prior to participation in the study. The study number is E14232.

Consent for publication

Consent for publication from the subject whose foot appears in the pictures (Figs. 2, 3, and 4) will be submitted when necessary.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 23 March 2017 Accepted: 27 November 2017 Published online: 08 December 2017

References

- Spink MJ, Fotoohabadi MR, Menz HB. Foot and ankle strength assessment using hand-held dynamometry: reliability and age-related differences. Gerontology. 2010;56:525–32.
- Soysa A, Hiller C, Refshauge K, Burns J. Importance and challenges of measuring intrinsic foot muscle strength. J Foot Ankle Res. 2012;5
- Gallardo E, García A, Combarros O, Berciano J. Charcot-Marie-tooth disease type 1A duplication: spectrum of clinical and magnetic resonance imaging features in leg and foot muscles. Brain. 2006;129:426–37.
- Kibler WB, Goldberg C, Chandler TJ. Functional biomechanical deficits in running athletes with plantar fasciitis. Am J Sports Med. 1991;19:66–71.
- Allen RH, Gross MT. Toe flexors strength and passive extension range of motion of the first metatarsophalangeal joint in individuals with plantar fasciitis. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther. 2003;33:468–78.
- Myerson MS, Shereff MJ. The pathological anatomy of claw and hammer toes. J Bone Jt Surg. 1989;71:45–9. –A
- Bus S, Maas M, Michels R, Levi M. Role of intrinsic muscle atrophy in the etiology of claw toe deformity in diabetic neuropathy may not be as straightforward as widely believed. Diabetes Care. 2009;32:1063–7.
- Kwon O, Tuttle L, Johnson J, Mueller M. Muscle imbalance and reduced ankle joint motion in people with hammer toe deformity. Clin Biomech. 2009;24:670–5.
- Arinci Incel N, Genç H, Erdem HR, Yorgancioglu ZR. Muscle imbalance in hallux valgus: an electromyographic study. Am J Phys Med Rehabil. 2003;82:345–9.

- Garth WP, Miller ST. Evaluation of claw toe deformity, weakness of the foot intrinsics, and posteromedial shin pain. Am J Sports Med. 1989;17:821–7.
- Saeki J, Nakamura M, Nakao S, Fujita K, Yanase K, Ichihashi N. Muscle stiffness of posterior lower leg in runners with a history of medial tibial stress syndrome. Scand J Med Sci Sports. 2017;10(16):1–6.
- Cheung RTH, Sze LKY, Mok NW, Ng GYF. Intrinsic foot muscle volume in experienced runners with and without chronic plantar fasciitis. J Sci Med Sport. 2016;19:713–5.
- Kelly LA, Kuitunen S, Racinais S, Cresswell AG. Recruitment of the plantar intrinsic foot muscles with increasing postural demand. Clin Biomech. 2012;27:46–51.
- Mickle KJ, Munro BJ, Lord SR, Menz HB, Steele JR. Toe weakness and deformity increase the risk of falls in older people. Clin Biomech. 2009;24:787–91.
- Senda M, Takahara Y, Yagata Y, Yamamoto K, Nagashima H, Tukiyama H, et al. Measurement of the muscle power of the toes in female marathon runners using a toe dynamometer. Acta Med Okayama. 1999;53:189–91.
- de Win MM, Theuvenet WJ, Roche PW, de Bie RA, van Mameren H. The paper grip test for screening on intrinsic muscle paralysis in the foot of leprosy patients. Int J Lepr Other Mycobact Dis. 2002;70:16–24.
- Nihal A, Goldstein J, Haas J, Hiebert R, Kummer FJ, Liederbach M, et al. Toe flexor forces in dancers and non-dancers. Foot Ankle Int. 2002;23:1119–23.
- 18. Mickle KJ, Chambers S, Steele JR, Munro BJ. A novel and reliable method to measure toe flexor strength. Clin Biomech. 2008;23:683.
- Menz HB, Zammit GV, Munteanu SE, Scott G. Plantarflexion strength of the toes: age and gender differences and evaluation of a clinical screening test. Foot ankle Int. 2006;27:1103–8.
- 20. Portnoy LG, Watkins MP. Foundations of clinical research: applications to practice. 3rd ed. Pearson Education: Upper Saddle River; 2009.
- Hagen M, Schwiertz G, Landorf KB, Menz HB, Murley GS. Selective activation of lower leg muscles during maximum voluntary isometric contractions. Hum Mov Sci. 2016;50:30–7.
- Kim M-H, Yi C-H, Weon J-H, Cynn H-S, Jung D-Y, Kwon O-Y. Effect of toe-spread-out exercise on hallux valgus angle and cross-sectional area of abductor hallucis muscle in subjects with hallux valgus. J Phys Ther Sci. 2015;27:1019–22.
- Jung DY, Koh EK, Kwon OY. Effect of foot orthoses and short-foot exercise on the cross-sectional area of the abductor hallucis muscle in subjects with pes planus: a randomized controlled trial. J Back Musculoskelet Rehabil. 2011;24:225–31.
- Quek J, Treleaven J, Brauer SG, O'Leary S, Clark RA. Intra-rater reliability of hallux flexor strength measures using the Nintendo Wii balance board. J Foot Ankle Res. 2015;8:48.
- Van Schie CHM, Vermigli C, Carrington AL, Boulton A. Muscle weakness and foot deformities in diabetes: relationship to neuropathy and foot ulceration in Caucasian diabetic men. Diabetes Care. 2004;27:1668–73.
- Jung D-Y, Kim M-H, Koh E-K, Kwon O-Y, Cynn H-S, Lee W-H. A comparison in the muscle activity of the abductor hallucis and the medial longitudinal arch angle during toe curl and short foot exercises. Phys Ther Sport. 2011;12:30–5.
- 27. Andersen H, Gjerstad MD, Jakobsen J. Atrophy of foot muscles: a measure of diabetic neuropathy. Diabetes Care. 2004;27:2382–5.
- Miller EE, Whitcome KK, Lieberman DE, Norton HL, Dyer RE. The effect of minimal shoes on arch structure and intrinsic foot muscle strength. J Sport Heal Sci. 2014;3:74–85.
- 29. Unger CL, Wooden MJ. Effect of foot intrinsic muscle strength training on jump performance. J Strength Cond Res. 2000;14:373–8.
- Kurihara T, Yamauchi J, Otsuka M, Tottori N, Hashimoto T, Isaka T. Maximum toe flexor muscle strength and quantitative analysis of human plantar intrinsic and extrinsic muscles by a magnetic resonance imaging technique. J Foot Ankle Res. 2014;7:26.