### **MEETING ABSTRACT**



**Open Access** 

# Cycling performance enhancement and injury prevention use an arch support insole with forefoot wedge

Sai-Wei Yang<sup>1\*</sup>, Po-Hsun Li<sup>1</sup>, Keh-Tao Liu<sup>2</sup>

*From* 4th Congress of the International Foot and Ankle Biomechanics (i-FAB) Community Busan, Korea. 8-11 April 2014

Bicycle riding is an increasingly popular recreational and competitive activity, however, the more popular the more biking-related injuries. Most of cycling injuries are musculoskeletal related and caused by a combination of inadequate preparation, inappropriate bike fitting, poor technique, and overuse of prolonged uphill biking. However, after well bike fitting, the injury is still existed, the leg alignment and foot types might be an important factor that mainly causes the muscular injury after an appropriate bike fitting. [1-3]The purpose of this study was to investigate the efficacy of an arch support insole with/o forefoot wedge in muscle activities and joint loads in order to mimic the musculoskeletal sport injury in cycling and to enhance the performance.

Eleven amateur cyclists were recruited for this study. Vicon motion analysis system, Pedar in-sole foot pressure sensor and Delsys EMG system were used to measure the three-dimensional lower extremity kinematics, kinetics, EMG signal. Each subject was randomly shot four different insoles (Bikepro, off-counter insole with/o arch support and forefoot wedge) with his own bike shoes and bike mounted on a cycle ergometer set to a fixed power of 150W in 75rpm. An One-way ANOVA repeated measurement was used to discriminate the effect of insole material, arch, and forefoot wedge. The results showed that the BikePro significantly increased the ankle varus angle(0.4°, p=0.029), the knee internal rotation (1.4°, p=0.030), and it significantly decreased the ankle abduction angle (1.2°, p=0.047)at the bottom dead center (BDC); reduced the knee sway area by (10.4%, p=0.037). Combined with forefoot wedge, it significantly decreased the ankle varus(0.5°, p=0.005), and increased the ankle abduction angle  $(1.2^\circ, p=0.005)$  but without changing the knee trajectory patterns.

							Arch		P value	(C	P value
Wedge (%)	BikePro	BikePro+Wedge	P value	flat	flat+Wedge	P value	(%)	BikePro-Arch E	BikePro		lat   Arch
PL	-8.688±17.43	-3.018±14.11	0.149		-9.707±17.11	0.037*	Ankle InvEve	-1.816±1.16 -1.	799±0.92 0.856		4% Eve .724±1.12 0.030*
TA	1.302±31.39	33.398±53.35	0.015*		9.788±19.81	0.130			1	Contract Contract	
BF	3.807±25.79	17.377±37.07	0.099		12.354±23.51	0.045*					
Wedge	BikePro	BikePro+Wedge	P value	flat	flat+Wedge	P value	Wedge		P valu		P value
PL					-9.7%	0.037*	(%)	BikePro BikeI <b>1</b> 33.9%	Pro+Wedge	flat	flat+Wedge
TA		32%	0.015*				Knee FlxExt	12.902±10.72 17		17.218±7.31	17.656±11.7 0.80
BF					12.4%	0.045*		1 9.6%	Plant	10	0.3% Plant
BF				•	12.4%	0.045*	Ankle DorPla	-23.721±4.52 -25.	Plant 988±4.69 0.031*	24.251±5.24	).3% -26.75

\* Correspondence: swyang@ym.edu.tw

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biomedical Engineering, National Yang-Ming University, Taipei. Taiwan

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© 2014 Yang et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http:// creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated. The muscle activation time reduced for the biceps femoris (6.8%, p=0.005) in comparision with the offcounter insoles. Combined with wedge it significantly increased the tibialis anterior EMG peak(32%, p=0.015) as well as the EMG integral (33%, p=0.019), and the integral of biceps femoris was also increased (12.5%, p=0.048) when vs. without the wedge. The arch support decreased the peak knee sagittal plane moment on the same performance, increased efficiency during cycling. With the wedge, the high forces found the hallux region and first metatarsal head region which increased the peak knee and ankle moment (Figure 1)

This study suggests the cyclist shall wear proper sports orthotic with arch support and forefoot wedge according to one's limb alignment, foot type as well as the forefoot angle, in addition to the bike fitting to reduce the overused musculoskeletal related injury.

#### Acknowledgment

This study was supported by National Science Council, ROC through the grant NSC 95-2622-B-010-001, NSC96 -2622- E010- 001-CC3, NSC 100-2622-E-010 -002 -CC3

#### Authors' details

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biomedical Engineering, National Yang-Ming University, Taipei, Taiwan. <sup>2</sup>Global Action Inc- Footdisc®, Taiwan.

#### Published: 8 April 2014

Bini RR, Diefenthaeler F, Mota CB: Fatigue effects on the coordinative pattern during cycling: kinetics and kinematics evaluation. *J Electromyogr Kinesiol* 2010, 20(1):102-7.

- Callaghan M: Lower body problems and injury in cycling. Bodywork and Movement Therapies 2005, 9:226-236.
- Sanner W, O'Halloran W: The Biomechanics, Etiology, and Treatment of Cycling Injuries. American Podiatric Medical Association 2000, 90(7):354-376.

#### doi:10.1186/1757-1146-7-S1-A102

**Cite this article as:** Yang *et al.*: **Cycling performance enhancement and injury prevention use an arch support insole with forefoot wedge.** *Journal of Foot and Ankle Research* 2014 **7**(Suppl 1):A102.

## Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit

BioMed Central