## **Journal of Foot and Ankle Research**



### Oral presentation

**Open Access** 

# Plantar fascia thickness and first metatarsal mobility in patients with diabetes and neuropathy

Smita Rao\*1, Charles L Saltzman<sup>2</sup> and H John Yack<sup>3</sup>

Address: <sup>1</sup>Ithaca College-Center for Foot and Ankle Research, Rochester, NY, USA, <sup>2</sup>Department of Orthopaedics, University of Utah School of Medicine, Salt Lake City, UT, USA and <sup>3</sup>Department of Physical Therapy and Rehabilitation Science, The University of Iowa, IA, USA

Email: Smita Rao\* - srao@ithaca.edu

\* Corresponding author

from 1st Congress of the International Foot & Ankle Biomechanics (i-FAB) community Bologna, Italy. 4–6 September 2008

Published: 26 September 2008

Journal of Foot and Ankle Research 2008, I (Suppl 1):O4 doi:10.1186/1757-1146-1-S1-O4

This abstract is available from: http://www.jfootankleres.com/content/1/S1/O4 © 2008 Rao et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

#### Introduction

Individuals with Diabetes Mellitus and Neuropathy (DN) are at increased risk for ulcer development at sites exposed to repetitive, high plantar loading. Limited joint mobility may contribute to increased forefoot loading by limiting foot flexibility and restraining the forward progression of body weight during the stance phase of gait. However data substantiating the causes and consequences of limited mobility in forefoot loading is limited. The purpose of this study was to compare plantar fascia thickness, passive and active 1st metatarsal (Met) mobility and loading in patients with and without DN.

#### **Methods**

All procedures were approved by the Institutional Review Board at the University of Iowa Hospitals and Clinics. 15 subjects with DN and 15 non-diabetic, age and gender matched control subjects participated in this study. Sagittal T1 scans were acquired using a 3T Trio scanner (Siemens Corp). Plantar fascia thickness was measured using ImageJ. Passive 1st Met mobility and stiffness were measured using the device described by Glasoe et al. [1] Foot mobility during walking was captured using a two-segment model tracking the 1st Met and calcaneus. Kinematic data were collected at 120 Hz, using 3 iReds on each segment (Optotrak, NDI, CAN), plantar loading data were collected at 50 Hz using a pedobarograph (EMed, Novel Inc). Kinematic data were low-pass filtered using a fourth order butterworth filter with cut-off frequencies of 6 Hz and processed using Visual3D (C-motion Inc., MD). Motion of the distal segment was expressed relative to the proximal segment using Euler angles. For kinematic data, stance phase mean was subtracted from pattern to correct for systematic offsets.

#### **Statistical Analysis**

A two sample t test was used to assess differences between the two groups ( $\alpha$  = 0.05). Pearson product moment correlation (r) was used to assess the relationship between variables of interest.

#### **Results**

Subjects with DN showed increased plantar fascia thickness, decreased passive 1st Met mobility and increased passive 1st Met stiffness. Subjects with DN showed reduced 1st metatarsal sagittal, transverse and frontal motion and increased medial forefoot loading (Table 1).

Plantar fascia thickness was negatively associated with passive  $1^{st}$  Met mobility (r = -0.40, p < 0.05) when considering both groups together (n = 30). In subjects with DN,  $1^{st}$  Met sagittal motion was negatively associated with peak pressure sustained under the medial forefoot (r = -0.42 and -0.06, DN and Ctrl respectively, p = 0.02).

#### Conclusion

Our results indicate that increased plantar fascia thickness limits passive first Met mobility. However we did not find evidence that passive 1<sup>st</sup> Met mobility or stiffness influences 1<sup>st</sup> Met mobility during gait. In individuals with DN,

Table I: Plantar fascia thickness, foot mobility and loading, expressed as. Mean (SD)

| Dependent Variable                                 | DN           | Ctrl        | P value |
|--|--------------|-------------|---------|
| Plantar fascia thickness (mm)                      | 2.78 (0.64)  | 1.51 (0.33) | <0.001  |
| Passive Ist Met mobility (mm)                      | 3.78 (0.63)  | 5.54 (2.13) | 0.004   |
| Passive 1st Met Stiffness (N/mm)                   | 10.69 (1.63) | 8.13 (2.67) | 0.003   |
| Ist Met sagittal motion (deg)                      | 13.0 (2.5)   | 15.8 (3.3)  | 0.047   |
| Ist Met transverse motion (deg)                    | 7.1 (3.1)    | 9.6 (3.6)   | 0.026   |
| Ist Met frontal motion (deg)                       | 9.8 (3.6)    | 12.3 (3.1)  | 0.029   |
| Medial forefoot peak pressure (N/cm <sup>2</sup> ) | 82.4 (29)    | 49.8 (10)   | < 0.001 |

loss of sagittal 1st Met mobility correlated with increased medial forefoot loading, highlighting the importance of 1st Met mobility during functional activities.

#### References

I. Glasoe, et al.: Foot Ankle Int 2000, 21(3):240-6.

Publish with **Bio Med Central** and every scientist can read your work free of charge

"BioMed Central will be the most significant development for disseminating the results of biomedical research in our lifetime."

Sir Paul Nurse, Cancer Research UK

Your research papers will be:

- available free of charge to the entire biomedical community
- peer reviewed and published immediately upon acceptance
- cited in PubMed and archived on PubMed Central
- $\bullet$  yours you keep the copyright

Submit your manuscript here: http://www.biomedcentral.com/info/publishing\_adv.asp

